arXiv:1209.3817v1 [math.DG] 18 Sep 2012

HAEFLIGER COHOMOLOGY OF RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS

HIRAKU NOZAWA

Abstract. In the first part, we show that the Haefliger cohomology of uniform complete Riemannian foliations is isomorphic to the reduced Haefliger cohomology in the sense of Hector. In the second part, we will give a characterization of strongly tenseness condition of foliated manifolds in terms of the Haefliger cohomology. By combining the results of both parts, we prove that any uniform complete Riemannian foliation on any possibly noncompact manifold is strongly tense.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Definition of Haefliger cohomology 5
3. Fundamental notions on pseudogroups 7
4. Haefliger cohomology of uniform parallelizable pseudogroups 9
5. Haefliger cohomology of uniform complete Riemannian pseudogroups 11
6. Reduced Haefliger cohomology 12
7. Strongly tenseness of foliated manifolds 16
8. Domínguez's tenseness theorem via Haefliger cohomology 19
9. A characterization of strongly tenseness in the noncompact case 20
10. Strongly tenseness of Riemannian foliations on noncompact manifolds 23
References 25

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background and motivation.** Recall that a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is called *taut* if M admits a metric g such that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a minimal submanifold of (M, g). Haefliger cohomology of compact foliated manifolds was introduced by Haefliger [Hae80] to study tautness of foliated manifolds. Based on the work of Sullivan [Sul79] and Rummler [Rum79], Haefliger characterized tautness for a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by the existence of certain positive 0-cocycle of the Haefliger cohomology. As a consequence, he showed that tautness of foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a transverse property; namely, tautness of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is determined by the equivalence class of the holonomy pseudogroup.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R30, 37C85, 53C12.

Key words and phrases. Riemannian foliations, taut foliations, Haefliger cohomology, basic cohomology, Poincaré duality.

The author is partially supported by Postdoctoral Fellowship of French government (662014L), the EPDI/JSPS/IHÉS Fellowship and the Spanish MICINN grant MTM2011-25656. This paper was written during the stay of the second author at Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, France), Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden) and Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (Bellaterra, Spain); he is very grateful for their hospitality.

Here we briefly review the history of the study of tautness of Riemannian foliations. The characterization of tautness of Riemannian foliations on compact manifolds was studied by many authors [KT83a, Car84, Ghy84, Hae85, MS85, EKAH84, AL90, Mas92, AL92, Dom98] mainly motivated by Carrière's conjecture [Car84] on the characterization of tautness of a Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold in terms of the basic cohomology. After many partial results, finally Masa [Mas92] proved Carrière's conjecture. Then, based on Masa's technique and the Álvarez class defined by Álvarez López [AL92], Domínguez [Dom98] proved that any Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold is tense, which is a generalization of Masa's theorem in a sense. Here, recall that a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is called *tense* if M admits a metric such that the mean curvature form of $\mathcal{F}$ is basic.

The work in this article was motivated by recent generalizations of theorems of Masa and Domínguez to noncompact manifolds. For Riemannian foliations on noncompact manifolds which are suitably embedded into Riemannian foliations on compact manifolds, it was done by Royo Prieto-Saralegi-Wolak [RPSAW08, RPSAW09] by using Domínguez's theorem. Masa [Mas09] generalized his characterization of tautness to Riemannian foliations such that the closures of the leaves define a compact foliation. In [NRP12], tenseness of transversally complete Riemannian foliation of dimension one was proved based on a technique of [MS85], which is special in dimension one, and the following question was posed:

Question. *Is any complete Riemannian foliation strongly tense?*

See Section 1.4 for the definition of strongly tenseness of foliated manifolds and the reason why we consider strongly tenseness instead of tenseness.

In this article, we generalize Haefliger's characterization of tautness and Domínguez's tenseness theorem to complete Riemannian foliations under certain compactness condition called the uniformness to partially answer the above question.

1.2. Haefliger cohomology of uniform complete Riemannian foliations.

Let M be a manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a flat vector bundle over M. The Haefliger cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ with values in $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ (see Section 2.1), or its cochain complex $\Omega^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$, is of dynamical nature in general as mentioned in [Hae80], and it is often difficult to compute them. For example, $\Omega^{0}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the p-th leafwise cohomology of $\mathcal{F}$, where $p = \dim \mathcal{F}$, by [Hae80, Corollary in Section 3.3]. On the other hand, it is less difficult to compute the reduced cohomology $\widehat{H}^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ in the sense of Hector [Hec88], namely, the cohomology of the quotient of $\Omega^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ by the closure of zero with respect to the natural topology. There is a natural map $\tau : H^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{H}^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$. For a closed manifold M with a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$, the map τ is an isomorphism due to Domínguez [Dom98, Theorem 3.15], which is a generalization of Masa's result [Mas92, Section 1] in the case where $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is trivial. Note that Hector [Hec88, Theorem 1.2] first proved that τ is an isomorphism in the case where the structural Lie algebra of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is compact or nilpotent and $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is trivial.

Our first result is a generalization of the isomorphism under the following compactness condition weaker than the compactness of M: We call $(M, \mathcal{F})$ *uniform* if there exists a total transversal T and a relatively compact subset U of T such that U intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. For a complete Riemannian foliation, the uniformness is equivalent to the compactness of the space of the closures of the leaves of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ (see Lemma 3.8). In particular, Riemannian foliations with dense leaves are uniform.

Theorem 1.1. *For a manifold M with a uniform complete Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$, the natural map $\tau : H^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{H}^{\bullet}_{c}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ is an isomorphism.*

Here, a foliation is called *complete* if its holonomy pseudogroup is complete (see Definition 3.2). Our proof is based on a version of Sarkaria's smoothing operator [Sar78] on transversals, which is a modification of the main step of [Mas92] and [Dom98].

1.3. **Duality of Haefliger cohomology of uniform complete Riemannian foliations.** We will apply Theorem 1.1 to show certain duality of Haefliger cohomology of uniform complete Riemannian foliations to extend duality, known in the case where M is compact. For this purpose, we extend the well known duality between $\widehat{H}_c^\bullet(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ and the basic cohomology to complete Riemannian foliations with flat vector bundles.

Theorem 1.2. *For a manifold M with a complete Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$, we have $\widehat{H}_c^\bullet(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong H^{n-\bullet}(M,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{O}_\nu)^*$, where $\mathcal{O}_\nu$ is the orientation bundle of $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ and $H^\bullet(M,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{O}_\nu)$ is the basic cohomology of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with values in $\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{O}_\nu$.*

In the case where $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial, it is already known [ALM08, p. 598]. In the case where M is compact, more general duality for the whole spectral sequence associated to Riemannian foliations was proved by Álvarez López [AL89a].

Combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 with the twisted Poincaré duality of the basic cohomology due to Sergiescu [Ser85, Théorème I], we can compute $H_c^\bullet(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Sergiescu's orientation sheaf of $(M,\mathcal{F})$, which was introduced in [Ser85, Section 1].

Corollary 1.3. *Let $(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ be like in Theorem 1.1. Let n be the codimension of $(M,\mathcal{F})$.*

(i) *We have* $\dim H_c^\bullet(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) < \infty$.
(ii) *If M is connected and* $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{E} = 1$, *then $H_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}$ *or* 0.
(iii) *If M is connected, then $H_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.*
(iv) *We have $H_c^\bullet(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong H_c^{n-\bullet}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{P}^*)^*$.*

Corollary 1.3 was first proved in the case where M is compact, $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial and the structure Lie algebra of $\mathcal{F}$ is compact or nilpotent by Hector [Hec88]. Masa [Mas92, Duality theorem] proved it in the case where M is compact and $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are trivial. Domínguez [Dom98, Theorem 5.2] proved it in the case where M is compact and $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ may be nontrivial.

Let G be a Lie group, and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra consisting of right invariant vector fields on G. Let M be a manifold with a G-Lie foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with dense leaves and a flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. Since such $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is clearly complete and uniform, Corollary 1.2 is specialized to the following (see also Lemma 6.4).

Corollary 1.4. *We have $H_c^\bullet(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0^*)^*$, where $\mathcal{E}_0$ is the space of basic sections of $\mathcal{E}$ on M which naturally admits the structure of a $\mathfrak{g}$-module.*

Corollary 1.3 in this case directly follow from Corollary 1.4 and the Poincaré duality of Lie algebra cohomology (see [Kna88, Theorem 6.10]), where $\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to $\det\mathfrak{g}$.

1.4. **Characterizations of strongly tenseness.** We briefly review strongly tenseness of foliated manifolds introduced in [NRP12]. As seen in the work of Kamber-Tondeur [KT83b] and Domínguez [Dom98], tenseness of compact manifolds with Riemannian foliations is a cohomological property which can be regarded as a twisted version of tautness. The crucial fact [KT83b, Eq. 4.4] in their work is the following: On a compact manifold with a Riemannian foliation, the mean curvature form of any tense metric is closed. For more general foliated manifolds, tenseness is not a cohomological property. For example, it is known that there exists a Riemannian foliation on a noncompact manifold with tense metric whose mean curvature form is not closed due to Cairns-Escobales [CE97, Example 2.4]. For such foliations, it is difficult to relate tenseness with cohomology like in the work of Haefliger [Hae80]. Motivated by this fact, the following notion was introduced in [NRP12]: A foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is called *strongly tense* if M admits a Riemannian metric such that the mean curvature form of $\mathcal{F}$ is basic and closed.

In the second part of this article, first we will show that strongly tenseness of compact foliated manifolds admits a Rummler-Sullivan type characterization (Proposition 7.5), which shows that strongly tenseness of foliated manifolds is a version of tautness twisted by a flat line bundle. Then we introduce the notion of strongly tenseness with a prescribed flat line bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ (Definition 7.7), and show its Haefliger type characterization. To state the result, we introduce the following terminologies.

Definition 1.5. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a flat line bundle over a manifold M with holonomy homomorphism $\mathrm{hol}(\nabla) : \pi_1 M \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $h_1(\mathcal{E})$ denote the composite of

$$\pi_1 M \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hol}(\nabla)} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^\times \xrightarrow{\log|\cdot|} \mathbb{R} .$$

We will say that $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is *basic* if $h_1(\mathcal{E})$ belongs to the basic cohomology $H^1(M, \mathcal{F})$.

Note that the last terminology is not standard. By definition, if $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$, then $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is basic.

Definition 1.6. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a trivial line bundle over a manifold T. A section ξ of $\mathcal{E}$ is called *nonnegative* if ξ is identified with a nonnegative function under a trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$. For such a section ξ, let

$$\operatorname{supp}_+ \xi := \{x \in T \mid \xi(x) \neq 0\} .$$

Now the Haefliger type characterization of strongly tenseness is as follows.

Theorem 1.7. *For a closed manifold M with an oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a topologically trivial basic flat line bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ over M, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *$(M, \mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.*
- (ii) *There exist a total transversal T of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and a nonnegative section $\xi \in \Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}_+ \xi$ intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and $d_\nabla \xi = 0$ in $\Omega^1_c(\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$.*
- (iii) *For any total transversal T of $(M, \mathcal{F})$, there exists a nonnegative section $\xi \in \Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}_+ \xi$ intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and $d_\nabla \xi = 0$ in $\Omega^1_c(\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$.*

Clearly the conditions (iii) in the last theorem is invariant under equivalences of pseudogroups. Thus we get the following consequence.

Corollary 1.8. *Strongly tenseness of compact foliated manifolds is a transverse property; namely, it is determined by the equivalence class of the holonomy pseudogroup.*

Moreover, we will extend the Haefliger type characterization of tautness and strongly tenseness to noncompact foliated manifolds (Theorem 9.8) by introducing a modified version of Haefliger cohomology.

1.5. **Tenseness theorems.** By combining consequences of Theorems 1.1 with the characterization of strongly tenseness in terms of Haefliger cohomology (Theorem 9.8), we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.9. *Let M be a connected manifold with a uniform complete Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$. If there exists a basic flat line bundle $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ over M such that $\widehat{H}^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, then $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. In particular, $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense.*

See Definition 7.7 for the definition of strongly tenseness with $\mathcal{E}$. We will see that the nontriviality of $\widehat{H}^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ is equivalent to $\widehat{H}^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ (Theorems 6.2 and 6.7-(ii)).

Under the assumption of Theorem 1.9, it is not difficult to see that $\widehat{H}^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ (see Theorems 6.2 and 6.7-(ii)), where $\mathcal{P}$ is the Sergiescu's orientation sheaf of $(M,\mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is always basic, we get the following corollary of Theorem 1.9.

Corollary 1.10. *Any uniform complete Riemannian foliations are strongly tense.*

Remark 1.11. Theorem 1.9 generalizes Domínguez's tenseness theorem [Dom98], which says that any Riemannian foliation on a closed manifold is tense. In the case where M is compact, Theorem 1.9 is essentially equivalent to Domínguez's theorem (see Remark 8.4).

If $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with the trivial flat line bundle, then $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is taut by definition. Thus we get the following generalization of one direction of Masa's characterization [Mas92, Minimality theorem] of tautness of Riemannian foliations on compact manifolds.

Corollary 1.12. *A connected manifold M with a uniform complete Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is taut if $\widehat{H}^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ is nontrivial.*

Remark 1.13. There will be no direct generalization of the other direction of Masa's characterization of tautness to Riemannian foliations on noncompact manifolds, because simple examples show that $\widehat{H}^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ may be trivial for taut Riemannian foliations $\mathcal{F}$ on noncompact manifolds M (see Example 9.2).

As a special case of Corollaries 1.10 and 1.12, we get the following.

Corollary 1.14. *Any Lie foliation with dense leaves are strongly tense. Any Lie foliation with dense leaves are taut if the structure Lie algebra is unimodular.*

Organization of the article. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to recall fundamental notions and results. We will prove Theorem 1.1 for complete parallelizable pseudogroups in Section 4 and for general complete Riemannian pseudogroups in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to prove Corollary 1.3. We will prove Theorem 1.7 in Section 7, which is independent of the preceding sections. In Section 8, we will prove Theorem 1.9 for compact foliated manifolds. In Section 9, Haefliger type characterization of strongly tenseness (Theorem 1.7) is generalized to noncompact foliated manifolds. In Section 10, we will prove Theorem 1.9.

Acknowledgment. This work originated from the discussion with Gilbert Hector about the alternative proof of Masa and Domínguez's theorems via Haefliger cohomology. The author is very grateful to him for so much stimulating discussions. Lemma 6.4 is due to him. The author is very grateful to Jesús Antonio Álvarez López for helpful discussion, the guidance on functional analysis and many valuable comments on the first manuscript. The author is very grateful to Xosé Maria Masa Vázquez for helpful discussions.

2. Definition of Haefliger cohomology

2.1. **Haefliger cohomology of pseudogroups with values in equivariant flat vector bundles.** Recall that a *pseudogroup* on a manifold T is a collection of diffeomorphisms between two open sets of T which contains the identities and is closed under composition, inversion, restriction and union. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pseudogroup on a manifold T. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a flat vector bundle over T. The following notion is a variant of the one used in [Hae85, Section 3.2].

Definition 2.1. An $\mathcal{H}$-*action* on $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is an assignment of each $h \in \mathcal{H}$ to an isomorphism $h_* : (\mathcal{E}|_{\operatorname{Dom} h}, \nabla) \to (\mathcal{E}|_{\operatorname{Im} h}, \nabla)$ which covers h such that

(i) $h_*|_U = (h|_U)_*$ for any open set $U \subset \operatorname{Dom} h$ and
(ii) $(h^1 \circ h^2)_* = h^1_* \circ h^2_*$ if $\operatorname{Im} h^2 \subset \operatorname{Dom} h^1$.

An $\mathcal{H}$-*equivariant flat vector bundle* over T is defined to be a flat vector bundle over T endowed with an $\mathcal{H}$-action.

Example 2.2. Let G be a Lie group and Γ a dense subgroup of G. Let $\gamma : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a representation of G on a finite dimensional vector space V. Consider a trivial flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ over G whose fiber is V, namely, $\mathcal{E} = G \times V \to G$. For $h \in \Gamma$, lift the right product $R_h : G \to G$ to $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ by $R_h(g, x) = (gh, \gamma(h^{-1})(x))$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the pseudogroup on G generated by the right Γ-action. Then $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant flat vector bundle over G.

Let $\Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ be the space of differential forms on T with values in $\mathcal{E}$, and $\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}$ the subspace of $\Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ consisting of finite sums of elements of the form $h^*\alpha - \alpha$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \alpha \subset \operatorname{Im} h$. Let

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) := \Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})/\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \ .$$

Since ∇ is preserved by h_* for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, the covariant derivative $d_\nabla : \Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ commutes with h^*. Thus we get $d_\nabla : \Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$.

Definition 2.3. The cohomology of the complex $(\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}), d_\nabla)$ is called the *Haefliger cohomology* of $\mathcal{H}$ with values in $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ and denoted by $H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$.

Remark 2.4. It is well known that the Haefliger cohomology is a part of the spectral sequence associated with $\mathcal{F}$ (see, for example, [ALM08, Section 28]). See [CM04, Section 7] for the explanation on the relations with other cohomologies associated with foliated manifolds.

We topologize $\Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ with the natural topology (see [dR84, Section 9]) called the *LF-topology*. In this topology, a sequence $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $\Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ converges to α if and only if there exists a relatively compact subset K of T such that $\operatorname{supp} \alpha_i \subset K$ for large enough i and $\lim_{i \to \infty} ||\alpha - \alpha_i||_k = 0$ for any k, where $||\cdot||_k$ is a C^k-norm on $\Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$. Since $\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}$ is not closed in $\Omega^\bullet_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ in general, the complex $\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ may not be Hausdorff with the quotient topology. The quotient of $\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ by the closure of 0 is denoted by $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ and its cohomology is denoted by $\widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$. Partly following [Hec88], $\widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ is called the *reduced Haefliger cohomology*. We have a canonical map

$$\tau : H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \ .$$

The maximal degree component of the Haefliger cohomology is easy to compute as follows.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pseudogroup on an n-dimensional manifold T. Let $\mathcal{O}_T$ be the orientation bundle of T. If the orbit space $T/\mathcal{H}$ is connected, then* $H^n_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{O}_T) \cong \widehat{H}^n_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{O}_T) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let L be the subspace of $H^n_c(T; \mathcal{O}_T)$ defined by

$$L = \{\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^k [\alpha] - h^*[\alpha] \mid \operatorname{supp} \alpha_i \subset \operatorname{Dom} h_i, 1 \leq j \leq k\} \ .$$

By the last part of the cohomology exact sequence of

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}_c(T;\mathcal{O}_T) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T) \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

we get that $H^n_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T) \cong H^n_c(T;\mathcal{O}_T)/L$, which implies $H^n_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T) \cong \mathbb{R}$ by the assumption. Then L is closed in $H^n_c(T;\mathcal{O}_T)$. So we have

$$\widehat{H}^n_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T) \cong H^n_c(T;\mathcal{O}_T)/\overline{L} \cong \mathbb{R}\ . \quad \square$$

Remark 2.6. Haefliger [Hae80, Section 1.1] defined morphisms and equivalences between pseudogroups. Throughout this article, we will follow this terminologies. By [Rai09, Theorem 2.5], this equivalence of pseudogroups is equivalent to the Morita equivalence of the groupoids obtained from the pseudogroups by taking germs. Twisted Haefliger cohomology is invariant under the equivalence in the following sense: If we have an equivalence from a pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}_1$ on T_1 to a pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}_2$ on T_2 and an $\mathcal{H}_1$-equivariant flat vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_1$ over T_1, there exists an $\mathcal{H}_2$-equivariant flat vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_2$ over T_2 and satisfies $H^{\bullet}(T_1/\mathcal{H}_1;\mathcal{E}_1) \cong H^{\bullet}(T_2/\mathcal{H}_2;\mathcal{E}_2)$.

2.2. **Haefliger cohomology of foliated manifolds with values in flat vector bundles.** Let $(M,\mathcal{F})$ be a foliated manifold. Let $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ be a flat vector bundle over M. A *transversal* of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is an immersion $T \to M$ which is transverse to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ by definition, but throughout this article we regard T as a subset of M. Recall that a transversal T of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is called *total* if it intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. For any total transversal T of $(M,\mathcal{F})$, we have the holonomy pseudogroup $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$ of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ on T. For any leaf path γ which connects two points of T, we can consider the parallel transport along γ on $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$, which gives a $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$-action on $\mathcal{E}|_T$. Throughout this article, such $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$-equivariant flat vector bundle on T obtained from $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ will be denoted by the same symbol $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. We topologize $\Omega^{\bullet}_c(T;\mathcal{E})$ with the LF-topology like in the last section. The following result is proved in a way analogous to [Hae80, Corollary in Section 1.2], based on the fact that the equivalence class of $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$ is independent of the choice of T.

Proposition 2.7. *The isomorphism classes of the topological differential complexes* $(\Omega^{\bullet}_c(T/\mathrm{Hol}(T);\mathcal{E}),d_{\nabla})$ *and* $(\widehat{\Omega}^{\bullet}_c(T/\mathrm{Hol}(T);\mathcal{E}),d_{\nabla})$ *are independent of the choice of* T.

Thus the following is well-defined.

Definition 2.8. For a foliated manifold $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with a total transversal T and a flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\bullet}_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) &:= \Omega^{\bullet}_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})\ , \\ H^{\bullet}_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) &:= H^{\bullet}\big(\Omega^{\bullet}_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}),d_{\nabla}\big) \end{aligned}$$

and $H^{\bullet}_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ is called the *Haefliger cohomology* of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with values in $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. The complex $\widehat{\Omega}^{\bullet}_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ and the reduced Haefliger cohomology $\widehat{H}^{\bullet}_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ are similarly defined.

3. Fundamental notions on pseudogroups

3.1. **Riemannian pseudogroups and its structure theory.** Let us recall the following fundamental notions.

Definition 3.1. A pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ on T is called *Riemannian* if there exists a Riemannian metric g on T such that $h^*g = g$ on $\mathrm{Dom}\,h$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 3.2. A pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ on T is called *complete* if, for any two points x, $y \in T$, there exists open neighborhood U_x (resp., U_y) of x (resp., y) in T such that, for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $z \in U_x \cap \operatorname{Dom} h$ with $h(z) \in U_y$, there exists some $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $U_x \subset \operatorname{Dom} \tilde{h}$ and the germs of $\tilde{h}$ and h at z are equal.

Let us recall the structure theory of complete Riemannian pseudogroups due to Salem [Sal88], which is analogous to the Molino's structure theory of Riemannian foliations on compact manifolds. A vector field X on T is called *$\mathcal{H}$-invariant* if $h_*X = X$ on $\operatorname{Im} h$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 3.3. A pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ on T is called *parallelizable* if there exist $\mathcal{H}$-invariant vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ on T such that $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ gives a trivialization of the tangent bundle of T. Such collection $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$-invariant vector fields is called a *parallelism* of $\mathcal{H}$.

The following is an important class of parallelizable pseudogroups, which appears in the structure theory.

Definition 3.4. For a Lie group G, a pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ is called of *G-Lie type* if $\mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to a pseudogroup on G generated by the right action of a subgroup of G.

Remark 3.5. Note that this terminology is not common while the notion itself is common. Note also that the terminology *Lie pseudogroup* is commonly used for a different object.

We need the following part of the analog of the Molino theory for complete Riemannian pseudogroups due to Salem.

Theorem 3.6 ([Sal88, Corollaires 1 and 2], see also [Mol88, Appendix by Salem])**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complete Riemannian pseudogroup on a manifold T. Let $T^{\#}$ be the orthonormal frame bundle over T. The pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}^{\#}$ on $T^{\#}$ naturally induced from $\mathcal{H}$ is complete parallelizable. In general, for a complete parallelizable pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}$ on $T^{\flat}$ whose orbit space is connected, the following holds:*

- (i) *The space $T^{\flat}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\flat}}$ of the closures of the $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}$-orbits in $T^{\flat}$ is a smooth manifold and the projection $T^{\flat} \to T^{\flat}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\flat}}$ is a submersion.*
- (ii) *There exists a connected and simply-connected Lie group G such that, for each point of $x \in T^{\flat}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\flat}}$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x in $T^{\flat}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\flat}}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{\flat}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is equivalent to the pseudogroup on $U \times G$ which is the product of the trivial pseudogroup on U and the pseudogroup on G generated by the right action of a dense subgroup of G.*

3.2. **Uniform pseudogroups.**

We introduce the following notion according to the terminology for subgroups of Lie groups.

Definition 3.7. A pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ over T is called *uniform* if there exists a relatively compact subset U of T whose $\mathcal{H}$-orbit is equal to T.

For a complete Riemannian pseudogroup, the uniformness is characterized as follows.

Lemma 3.8. *A complete Riemannian pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ over T is uniform if and only if the space $T/\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ of orbit closures is compact.*

Proof. Let $T^{\#}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\#}$ be like in Theorem 3.6. Since $T^{\#}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\#}}$ is a smooth manifold by Theorem 3.6-(i), it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}^{\#}$ is uniform if and only if $T^{\#}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\#}}$ is compact. Then the proof is done because clearly $\mathcal{H}$ is uniform if and only if $\mathcal{H}^{\#}$ is uniform, and $T^{\#}/\overline{\mathcal{H}^{\#}}$ is compact if and only if $T/\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is compact. □

4. Haefliger cohomology of uniform parallelizable pseudogroups

4.1. **The main result of this section.** Section 4 is devoted to prove the following result, namely, Theorem 1.1 for parallelizable pseudogroups.

Theorem 4.1. *For a uniform parallelizable pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ on a manifold T and an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ over T, the natural map $\tau : H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ is an isomorphism.*

Note that the completeness is not assumed in this theorem.

4.2. **Sarkaria's smoothing operators on parallelizable pseudogroups.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pseudogroup on a manifold T. We assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is parallelizable with parallelism $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$. Let V be the real vector space generated by X_1, …, X_n. We fix a compactly supported volume form μ on V. We assume that the time one map of the flow generates by any $X \in V$ is well-defined on T, which is denoted by ϕ_X. The operator

$$\begin{array}{rccc} s: & \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) \\ & \alpha & \longmapsto & \int_V (\phi_X^* \alpha)\mu(X)\ . \end{array} \tag{1}$$

is called a *smoothing operator* on T.

This operator s is a transverse version of Sarkaria's smoothing operator [Sar78]. Masa [Mas92] and Domínguez [Dom98] used Sarkaria's smoothing operators on compact manifolds, which are Hilbert-Schmidt integration operators and hence compact with respect to the natural topology [Sar78, Eq. 13 of p. 694]. Note that even if $\mathcal{H}$ is the holonomy pseudogroup of a compact foliated manifold, T may be noncompact. In that case, s may not be a compact operator in general. To avoid this problem of the noncompactness, we can use the restriction of the smoothing operators on relatively compact subsets. The following is well known as a step of the proof of Rellich-Kondrachov theorem (see [Eva99, Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 5.6]).

Lemma 4.2. *For a relatively compact subset U of T, the restriction of the smoothing operator $s : \Omega^\bullet_c(U; \mathcal{E}|_U) \to \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E})$ is compact.*

4.3. **Masa's decomposition via smoothing operators.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a uniform parallelizable pseudogroup on T. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a transverse flat vector bundle over T. By the uniformness of $\mathcal{H}$, the flow generated by any $\mathcal{H}$-invariant vector field is well-defined on T. So we can consider the smoothing operator $s : \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E})$ defined in (1). Let U be a relatively compact subset of T whose $\mathcal{H}$-orbit is equal to T. We take $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}$ so that $\mathcal{U} = \{h_i(U)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a locally finite covering of T. Let $\{\rho_i\}$ be a partition of unity on T subordinated to $\mathcal{U}$. We get a map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi_\mathcal{U}: & \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet_c(U;\mathcal{E}|_U) \\ & \alpha & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=1}^\infty h_i^*(\rho_i\alpha)\ . \end{array}$$

Let $\hat{s}$ be the composite

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\Phi_\mathcal{U}} \Omega^\bullet_c(U;\mathcal{E}|_U) \xrightarrow{s} \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E})\ .$$

Here s is compact by Lemma 4.2. Since the composite of any continuous operator with a compact operator is compact, $\hat{s}$ is compact. We will apply the following fact.

Theorem 4.3 (see [RR80, Corollary 2 in Section VIII])**.** *Let B be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space and $\sigma : B \to B$ a compact operator. There exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that*

$$B = \ker(1-\sigma)^r \oplus \operatorname{Im}(1-\sigma)^r\ ,$$

where $\ker(1-\sigma)^r$ *is closed and of finite dimension,* $\operatorname{Im}(1-\sigma)^r$ *is closed and*

$$\ker(1-\sigma)^r = \ker(1-\sigma)^{r+1} ,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(1-\sigma)^r = \operatorname{Im}(1-\sigma)^{r+1} .$$

By this proposition, we get a positive integer r and a decomposition

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) = K^\bullet \oplus I^\bullet ,$$

where $K^\bullet = \ker(1-\hat{s})^r$ and $I^\bullet = \operatorname{Im}(1-\hat{s})^r$ so that the properties mentioned in Theorem 4.3 are satisfied. Since $\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}$ is locally convex and invariant under $\hat{s}$, Theorem 4.3 also yields another decomposition with similar properties

$$\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} = (\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap K^\bullet) \oplus (\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap I^\bullet) .$$

Thus we get a decomposition

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) = \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E})/\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} = \big(K^\bullet/(\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap K^\bullet)\big) \oplus \big(I^\bullet/(\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap I^\bullet)\big) . \tag{2}$$

Note the following well known fact (see, for example, [Trè67, Theorem 9.1]).

Proposition 4.4. *A finite dimensional Hausdorff topological vector space* B *over* $\mathbb{R}$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}^{\dim B}$ *with the product topology. In particular, every subspace of* B *is closed.*

By this proposition, $\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap K^\bullet$ is a closed subspace of $K^\bullet$. Then $K^\bullet/(\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap K^\bullet)$ is Hausdorff. Letting $\Xi^\bullet = K^\bullet/(\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap K^\bullet)$ and $\Upsilon^\bullet = I^\bullet/(\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}} \cap I^\bullet)$, we get the following.

Proposition 4.5. *There is a decomposition as a differential complex*

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) = \Xi^\bullet \oplus \Upsilon^\bullet \tag{3}$$

such that

(i) $\Xi^\bullet$ *is Hausdorff of finite dimension,*
(ii) $(1-\hat{s})^r$ *is the second projection* $\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \to \Upsilon^\bullet$ *and*
(iii) $(1-\hat{s})^{r+1}$ *is a differential automorphism of* $\Upsilon^\bullet$*.*

Let $\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet$ be the closure of 0 in $\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$. Since $\Xi^\bullet$ is Hausdorff by Proposition 4.5-(i), $\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet$ is a subcomplex of $\Upsilon^\bullet$. Thus, by taking the quotient of the both sides of (3) by $\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet$, we get a decomposition of $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$. Here $\hat{s}$ induces a map $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$, which is denoted by the same symbol $\hat{s}$. The following proposition is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 4.6. *There is a decomposition of a differential complex*

$$\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) = \Xi^\bullet \oplus \Upsilon^\bullet/\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet \tag{4}$$

such that

(i) $(1-\hat{s})^r$ *is the projection* $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \to \Upsilon^\bullet/\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet$ *and*
(ii) $(1-\hat{s})^{r+1}$ *is a differential automorphism of* $\Upsilon^\bullet/\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet$*.*

4.4. **Acyclicity of $\Upsilon^\bullet$ and $\Upsilon^\bullet/\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet$.** We will use the notations V, ϕ_X and μ in Section 4.2. Let

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h: & \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{\bullet-1}_c(T;\mathcal{E}) \\ & \alpha & \longmapsto & -\int_V \Big(\int_0^1 (\iota_X \phi^*_{tX}\alpha)dt\Big)\mu(X) . \end{array}$$

We show

Lemma 4.7. *For* $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E})$*, we have*

$$\Big(\int_V \mu\Big)\alpha - s\alpha = (d_\nabla h + h d_\nabla)\alpha .$$

Proof. For a vector field X on T, the inner product and the Lie derivative with respect to X on $\Omega_c^\bullet(T;\mathcal{E})$ is denoted by $\iota(X)$ and $\theta(X)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_V \mu\Big)\alpha - s\alpha &= -\int_V (\phi_X^*\alpha - \alpha)\mu(X)\\ &= -\int_V \left(\int_0^1 \Big(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{u=t}\phi_{uX}^*\alpha\Big)dt\right)\mu(X)\\ &= -\int_V \left(\int_0^1 (\theta(tX)\phi_{tX}^*\alpha)dt\right)\mu(X)\\ &= -\int_V \left(\int_0^1 \big((d_\nabla\iota(tX) + \iota(tX)d_\nabla)\phi_{tX}^*\alpha\big)dt\right)\mu(X)\\ &= (d_\nabla h + hd_\nabla)\alpha\ . \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Fix μ so that $\int_V \mu = 1$. Since $\iota(X)$ commutes with the $\mathcal{H}$-action, we get a continuous map $h : \Omega_c^\bullet(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \to \Omega_c^\bullet(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 4.8. *We have* $H^\bullet(\Upsilon^\bullet) = 0$ *and* $H^\bullet(\Xi^\bullet) \cong H_c^\bullet(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Note that $\hat{s}$ and s induce the same map on $\Omega_c^\bullet(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$ by definition. Let α be a cocycle of $\Upsilon^\bullet$. By Proposition 4.5-(iii), there is a cocycle β of $\Upsilon^\bullet$ such that $(1-s)^{r+1}\beta = \alpha$. By Lemma 4.7, we get

$$\alpha = (1-s)^{r+1}\beta = (1-s)^r(d_\nabla h + hd_\nabla)\beta = d_\nabla(1-s)^r h\beta\ .$$

Here, $(1-s)^r h\beta$ belongs to $\Upsilon^\bullet$ by Proposition 4.5-(ii). Thus α is a coboundary in $\Upsilon^\bullet$, which implies $H^\bullet(\Upsilon^\bullet) = 0$. The second equation follows from $H^\bullet(\Upsilon^\bullet) = 0$ and Proposition 4.5. $\square$

Here h induces a map $\widehat{\Omega}_c^\bullet(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{\Omega}_c^{\bullet-1}(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$ by its continuity. The following is proved in a way analogous to Proposition 4.9 by using Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 4.9. *We have* $H^\bullet(\Upsilon^\bullet/\overline{\mathrm{O}}^\bullet) = 0$ *and* $H^\bullet(\Xi^\bullet) \cong \widehat{H}_c^\bullet(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$.

Thus Theorem 4.1 follows from Propositions 4.8 and 4.9.

5. Haefliger cohomology of uniform complete Riemannian pseudogroups

In this section, we will extend Theorem 4.1 from uniform parallelizable pseudogroups to uniform Riemannian pseudogroups, from which Theorem 1.1 follows. It will be done by a well known method based on equivariant cohomology (see [AL89b], [Mas92, Section 2] and [Dom98, Theorem 3.7] for similar arguments for Riemannian foliations). First we will review the method. Let K be a connected compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$. Recall that the Cartan complex of a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga $(A^\bullet, d)$ is defined by

$$C_{\mathfrak{k}}^\bullet(A^\bullet) := \big((\textstyle\bigvee\mathfrak{k}^*)\otimes A^\bullet\big)_{\theta=0}\ , \tag{5}$$

where $\bigvee\mathfrak{k}^*$ denotes the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{k}^*$ and the subscript $\theta = 0$ means the subspace invariant under the diagonal $\mathfrak{k}$-action. The grading of $C_{\mathfrak{k}}^\bullet(A^\bullet)$ is given by $C_{\mathfrak{k}}^j(A^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{2k+\ell=j}\big((\bigvee^k\mathfrak{k}^*)\otimes A^\ell\big)_{\theta=0}$. Here $C_{\mathfrak{k}}^\bullet(A^\bullet)$ is a differential complex whose differential $d_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is defined by

$$(d_{\mathfrak{k}}\omega)(X) := d(\omega(X)) - \iota(X)(\omega(X))$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{k}$, where we regard $\omega \in C_{\mathfrak{k}}^\bullet(A^\bullet)$ as a $\mathfrak{k}$-equivariant polynomial map $\mathfrak{k} \to A^\bullet$. Let

$$A_{\iota=0,\theta=0}^\bullet := \{\omega \in A^\bullet \mid \iota(X)\omega = 0,\ \theta(X)\omega = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{k}\}\ , \tag{6}$$

which is a subcomplex of $(A^\bullet, d)$. We have a natural differential map

$$\epsilon : (A^\bullet_{\iota=0,\theta=0}, d) \longrightarrow (C^\bullet_{\mathfrak{k}}(A^\bullet), d_{\mathfrak{k}})$$

induced from the canonical inclusion. We refer to [GHV76, Definition 8.1] for the definition of an algebraic connection. (Note that, in this reference, a $\mathfrak{k}$-dg module is called a graded vector space with an operation of $\mathfrak{k}$, see [GHV76, Definition 7.1].) The following is well known (for example, see [GHV76, Theorem IV in Section 8.17]), which corresponds to the fact that the equivariant cohomology of a manifold with a free action of a connected Lie group is isomorphic to the cohomology of the quotient.

Theorem 5.1. *If a $\mathfrak{k}$-dga $(A^\bullet, d)$ admits an algebraic connection, then ϵ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(A^\bullet_{\iota=0,\theta=0}, d) \cong H^\bullet(C^\bullet_{\mathfrak{k}}(A^\bullet), d_{\mathfrak{k}}) \ .$$

The E^1-terms of the spectral sequence of $(C^\bullet_{\mathfrak{k}}(A^\bullet), d_{\mathfrak{k}})$ with the filtration F^ℓ given by

$$F^\ell = \bigoplus_{j \leq \ell} \left(\bigvee{}^j \mathfrak{k}^* \otimes A^\bullet \right)_{\theta=0}$$

are $(\bigvee \mathfrak{k}^*)_{\theta=0} \otimes H^\bullet(A^\bullet, d)$, which are determined by $H^\bullet(A^\bullet, d)$. Thus we get the following.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f : (A^\bullet, d_A) \to (B^\bullet, d_B)$ be a homomorphism between $\mathfrak{k}$-dgas. Assume that $(B^\bullet, d_B)$ admits an algebraic connection. If f induces an isomorphism $H^\bullet(A^\bullet, d_A) \cong H^\bullet(B^\bullet, d_B)$, then f induces an isomorphism $H^\bullet(A^\bullet_{\iota=0,\theta=0}, d_A) \to H^\bullet(B^\bullet_{\iota=0,\theta=0}, d_B)$.*

We will prove the following result, from which Theorem 1.1 directly follows.

Theorem 5.3. *For a uniform Riemannian pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ on a manifold T and an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ over T, the natural map $\tau : H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\pi' : T' \to T$ be the orientation covering of T. Let $\mathcal{H}'$ be the pseudogroup on T' induced from $\mathcal{H}$, and $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla') = ((\pi')^*\mathcal{E}, (\pi')^*\nabla)$. Let $\pi^\# : T^\# \to T'$ be one of the connected component of the frame bundle of T'. Let $\mathcal{H}^\#$ be the pseudogroup on $T^\#$ naturally induced from $\mathcal{H}'$. Let $(\mathcal{E}^\#, \nabla^\#) = ((\pi^\#)^*\mathcal{E}, (\pi^\#)^*\nabla)$. Let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(q)$. It is easy to see that $\Omega^\bullet_c(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#; \mathcal{E}^\#)$ and $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#; \mathcal{E}^\#)$ naturally admit the structures of $\mathfrak{k}$-dgas with algebraic connections.

Here $\mathcal{H}^\#$ is uniform and parallelizable by Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 3.6. Then, by Theorem 4.1, the natural map $H^\bullet_c(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#; \mathcal{E}^\#) \to \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#; \mathcal{E}^\#)$ is an isomorphism. By Lemma 5.2, so is $H^\bullet_c(T'/\mathcal{H}'; \mathcal{E}') \to \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T'/\mathcal{H}'; \mathcal{E}')$. Clearly $\widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ and $H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ are isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-invariant subspace of $\widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T'/\mathcal{H}'; \mathcal{E}')$ and $H^\bullet_c(T'/\mathcal{H}'; \mathcal{E}')$, respectively. Hence $H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \to \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ is an isomorphism. □

6. Reduced Haefliger cohomology

6.1. **Pairing with the invariant cohomology.** We recall the invariant cohomology of pseudogroups and the pairing between the Haefliger cohomology and the invariant cohomology. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a pseudogroup on an n-dimensional manifold T. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant flat vector bundle over T. Let $\mathcal{O}_T$ be the orientation bundle of T. Recall that $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(T; \mathcal{E})$ is said to be *$\mathcal{H}$-invariant* if $h^*\alpha = \alpha$ on $\operatorname{Dom} h$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\Omega^\bullet(T; \mathcal{E})^{\mathcal{H}}$ be the subcomplex of $\Omega^\bullet(T; \mathcal{E})$ consisting of $\mathcal{H}$-invariant forms. We denote the cohomology of $\Omega^\bullet(T;\mathcal{E})^{\mathcal{H}}$ by $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$. The wedge product

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T;\mathcal{E}) \times \Omega^{n-\bullet}(T;\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T) \longrightarrow \Omega^n_c(T;\mathcal{O}_T) \tag{7}$$

induces

$$\Omega^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \times \Omega^{n-\bullet}(T;\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \Omega^n_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T) \tag{8}$$

and hence

$$\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \times \Omega^{n-\bullet}(T;\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\Omega}^n_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T)\ .$$

If the orbit space $T/\mathcal{H}$ is connected, then $\widehat{H}^n_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{O}_T) \cong \mathbb{R}$ by Proposition 2.5. So, by decomposing $T/\mathcal{H}$ into the connected components, we have a natural map

$$\Psi : \widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow H^{n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^*\ . \tag{9}$$

Remark 6.1. Note that the Haefliger cohomology does not admit a product in a natural way. This can be understood by the spectral sequence $E^{s,t}_r$ associated with $(M,\mathcal{F})$. Indeed, the k-th Haefliger cohomology is isomorphic to $E^{p,k}_2$ (see [ALM08, Section 28]), where $p = \dim\mathcal{F}$, while the natural product is $E^{s_1,t_1}_2 \times E^{s_2,t_2}_2 \to E^{s_1+t_2,s_1+t_2}_2$.

Sections 6.2 and 6.3 will be devoted to prove the following result, which was already known for the case of the trivial coefficient [ALM08, p. 598].

Theorem 6.2. *For a complete Riemannian pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$, the map Ψ in* (9) *is an isomorphism.*

Theorem 1.2 follows from this theorem, because the invariant cohomology of the holonomy pseudogroup of a foliated manifold $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is naturally isomorphic to the basic cohomology of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ by definition. Theorem 6.2 will be proved by an argument similar to the proof of the twisted Poincaré duality of basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations which are complete in the sense of [Ser85, Definition 1.4] due to Sergiescu [Ser85, Théorème I].

6.2. **Duality for complete parallelizable pseudogroups.** In this section, we show the following result, namely, Theorem 6.2 for complete parallelizable pseudogroups.

Proposition 6.3. *For a complete parallelizable pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$, the map Ψ in* (9) *is an isomorphism.*

Let G be a connected and simply-connected Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra consisting of right invariant vector fields on G. First we consider the case of pseudogroups of G-Lie type whose orbits are dense. The following lemma is due to Gilbert Hector.

Lemma 6.4. *For a pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}$ of G-Lie type generated by the right action of a dense subgroup of G, the map Ψ in* (9) *is an isomorphism and we have*

$$\widehat{H}^\bullet_c(G/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0^*)^*\ ,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0 = \Omega^0(G;\mathcal{E})^{\mathcal{H}}$ which naturally admits the structure of a $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Proof. Here $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ is the trivial flat bundle over G because G is simply-connected. Since $\Omega^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E}^*)^{\mathcal{H}} = \Omega^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E}^*)^G$ by the assumption on $\mathcal{H}$, each element of $\Omega^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E}^*)^{\mathcal{H}}$ is determined by its value at the identity of G. So, letting $\mathcal{E}_0^* = \Omega^0(G;\mathcal{E}^*)^{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$\Omega^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E}^*)^{\mathcal{H}} \cong {\textstyle\bigwedge}^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}_0^*\ . \tag{10}$$

Here, the covariant derivative $d_\nabla : \mathcal{E}_0^* \to \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}_0^*$ determines the structure of a $\mathfrak{g}$-module on $\mathcal{E}_0^*$, and we have $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}^*) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0^*)$. By (10), the composite of the pairing in (7) and the integration on G induces

$$\Theta : \Omega_c^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \textstyle\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{E}_0 \; .$$

It is easy to see that Θ is surjective. Clearly $\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}$ is contained in $\ker\Theta$, and hence so is $\overline{\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}}$. Take $\alpha \in \Omega_c^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E})$ so that $\alpha \notin \overline{\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}}$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem for $\Omega^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E})$ which is a Fréchet space, there exists $v \in \Omega_c^\bullet(G;\mathcal{E})^*$ such that $v|\overline{\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}} = 0$ and $v(\alpha) \neq 0$. Here $v|\overline{\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}} = 0$ implies that v is $\mathcal{H}$-invariant. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is generated by a dense subgroup of G, it follows that v is G-invariant. Thus we have $v \in \bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}_0^*$ such that $v(\alpha) \neq 0$, which implies that $\ker\Theta \subset \overline{\Lambda^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}}$. So we get

$$\widehat{\Omega}_c^\bullet(G/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) \cong \textstyle\bigwedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{E}_0 \; . \tag{11}$$

Then Ψ is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{H}$ by (10), (11) and the well known duality $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g};\mathcal{E}_0^*)^*$ of Lie algebra homology and cohomology [Kna88, Theorem 6.10]. We get the given formulas on $\widehat{H}_c^\bullet(G/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$ at the same time. □

Lemma 6.5. *Let $T = \mathbb{R}^k \times G$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is a pseudogroup on T which is the product of the trivial pseudogroup on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and the pseudogroup on G generated by the right action of a dense subgroup of G. Then Ψ in* (9) *is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Let $G(0) = G \times \{0\}$. The Poincaré lemmas for $\mathbb{R}^k$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_c^{\bullet+k}(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}) &\cong \widehat{H}_c^\bullet(G(0)/\mathcal{H}|_{G(0)};\mathcal{E}|_{G(0)}) \; , \\ H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}^*) &\cong H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H}|_{G(0)};\mathcal{E}^*|_{G(0)}) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim follows from the case of the pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}|_{G(0)}$, which is of G-Lie type (Lemma 6.4). □

Proof of Proposition 6.3. By Theorem 3.6, $T/\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is a smooth manifold and, for each point $x \in T/\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $U \approx \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$ is equivalent to the pseudogroup on $U \times G$ which is the product of the trivial pseudogroup on U and the pseudogroup of G-Lie type generated by the right action of a dense subgroup of G. Thus, Lemma 6.5 implies that Ψ is an isomorphism for such $\mathcal{H}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$. Then, a well known argument using the Mayer-Vietoris sequences and the five lemma (see [Ser85, Proof of Proposition 2.4]) implies that Ψ is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{H}$. □

6.3. **Duality in the general case: Proof of Theorem 6.2.** Theorem 6.2 is deduced from Proposition 6.3 by the comparison of two spectral sequences similar to [Ser85, Démontration du théorème I] and [RPSAW09, Sections 4.7 and 4.8]. Take $T^\#$, $\mathcal{H}^\#$, $\mathcal{E}^\#$, T', $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ like in the proof of Theorem 5.3. We similarly define $(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#$, $(\mathcal{E}^*)'$, $\mathcal{O}_T^\#$ and $\mathcal{O}'_T$. Let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(q)$. First, we show that Ψ is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{H}'$, namely,

$$\Psi : \widehat{H}_c^\bullet(T'/\mathcal{H}';\mathcal{E}') \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{inv}}\big(\mathcal{H}';(\mathcal{E}^*)' \otimes \mathcal{O}'_T\big)^* \; . \tag{12}$$

We consider the spectral sequence $E_r^{s,t}$ of $\widehat{\Omega}_c^{s+t}(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#;\mathcal{E}^\#)$ given by

$$F^s\widehat{\Omega}_c^{s+t}(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#;\mathcal{E}^\#) = \{\alpha \mid \iota(X_0) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(X_t)\alpha = 0, X_i \in \mathfrak{k}\} \; .$$

Here it is easy to see that $E_r^{s,t}$ converges to $\widehat{H}_c^\bullet(T^\#/\mathcal{H}^\#;\mathcal{E}^\#)$ and the E_2-terms are given by

$$E_2^{s,t} \cong \widehat{H}_c^s(T'/\mathcal{H}';\mathcal{E}') \otimes H^t(\mathrm{SO}(q)) \; . \tag{13}$$

We consider another spectral sequence. Define a filtration of $\Omega^\bullet(T^\#;(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#\otimes\mathcal{O}_T^\#)^{\mathcal{H}^\#}$ by

$$F^s\Omega^{s+t}(T^\#;(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#\otimes\mathcal{O}_T^\#)^{\mathcal{H}^\#}=\{\alpha\mid\iota(X_0)\circ\cdots\circ\iota(X_t)\alpha=0,X_i\in\mathfrak{k}\}\ . \tag{14}$$

Let $C^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}^\#}=\big(\Omega^\bullet(T^\#;(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#\otimes\mathcal{O}_T^\#)^{\mathcal{H}^\#}\big)^*$ be a complex whose differential is dual to d_∇. Let $\overline{E}_r^{s,t}$ be the spectral sequence of $C^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}^\#}$ with the filtration dual to (14), which is defined by

$$F^sC^{s+t}_{\mathcal{H}^\#}=\{v\mid v(\alpha)=0,\forall\alpha\in F^{m-s}\Omega^{n-(s+t)}(T^\#;(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#\otimes\mathcal{O}_T^\#)^{\mathcal{H}^\#}=0\}\ ,$$

where $m=\dim\mathfrak{so}(q)=q(q-1)/2$. Here it is easy to see that $\overline{E}_r^{s,t}$ converges to $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H}^\#;(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#\otimes\mathcal{O}_T^\#)^*$ and the E_2-terms are given by

$$\overline{E}_2^{s,t}\cong H^s_{\mathrm{inv}}\big(\mathcal{H}';(\mathcal{E}^*)'\otimes\mathcal{O}'_T\big)^*\otimes H^t\big(\mathrm{SO}(q)\big)^*\ . \tag{15}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^\#$ is complete and parallelizable, Proposition 6.3 implies that Ψ induces an isomorphism $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H}^\#;\mathcal{E}^\#)\cong H^{n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H}^\#;(\mathcal{E}^*)^\#\otimes\mathcal{O}_T^\#)^*$ between the E_∞-terms of the two spectral sequences. Then, by Moore's comparison theorem (see, for example, [McC01]), Ψ induces an isomorphism $E_2^{s,t}\cong\overline{E}_2^{s,t}$. Then (12) follows from (13) and (15). The isomorphism $\widehat{H}^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})\cong H^{n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{O}_T)^*$ follows from (12) like in the last part of the proof of Theorem 5.3.

6.4. **Proof of Corollary 1.3.** By using Theorems 1.1 and 6.2, we will prove the following pseudogroup version of Corollary 1.3.

Corollary 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a uniform complete Riemannian pseudogroup on an n-dimensional manifold on T. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant flat vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over T, and $\mathcal{P}$ the Sergiescu's orientation sheaf. Then we have the following.*

(i) *We have* $\dim H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})<\infty$.
(ii) *If M is connected and* $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{E}=1$*, then $H^0_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}$ *or* 0.
(iii) *If M is connected, then $H^0_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{P})\cong\mathbb{R}$.*
(iv) *We have $H^\bullet_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})\cong H^{n-\bullet}_c(T/\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{P}^*)^*$.*

Corollary 1.3 follows from Corollary 6.6, because the invariant cohomology of the holonomy pseudogroup of a foliated manifold $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is naturally isomorphic to the basic cohomology of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ by definition. In turn, Corollary 6.6 follows from Theorems 1.1 and 6.2, and the following result on the invariant cohomology of complete Riemannian pseudogroups.

Theorem 6.7 ((iii) and (iv) are due to [Hae85, Proposition 3.2.9.1])**.** *Under the same assumption with Corollary 6.6, the following holds:*

(i) *We have* $\dim H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})<\infty$.
(ii) *If $T/\mathcal{H}$ is connected and* $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{E}=1$*, then $H^n_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{R}$ *or* 0.
(iii) *If $T/\mathcal{H}$ is connected, then $H^n_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{P})\cong\mathbb{R}$.*
(iv) *There is an isomorphism $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E})\cong H^{n-\bullet}_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H};\mathcal{E}^*\otimes\mathcal{P})^*$.*

Theorem 6.7-(i) and (ii) are proved by the pseudogroup version of the argument due to El Kacimi-Alaoui-Sergiescu-Hector [EKASH85]. Haefliger's proof of Theorem 6.7-(iii) and (iv) is the pseudogroup version of the proof of Sergiescu [Ser85, Théorème I].

7. STRONGLY TENSENESS OF FOLIATED MANIFOLDS

7.1. **Definitions and Rummler's formula.** Recall that the *mean curvature form* of a foliated Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ is the one-form κ on M such that κ_x is the mean curvature form of the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ through x (see, for example, [CC00, Section 10.5] for formulas of κ in terms of g). We recall the following classical definition.

Definition 7.1. A foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is called *taut* (resp., *tense*) if M admits a metric g such that the mean curvature form of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ is trivial (resp., basic).

The following notion was introduced in [NRP12] (see Section 1.4 for the motivation).

Definition 7.2. A foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is called *strongly tense* if M admits a metric g such that the mean curvature form of of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ is basic and closed.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with an oriented p-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Recall that the *characteristic form* χ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ is defined to be the unique p-form on M such that $\ker \chi = (T\mathcal{F})^{\perp}$ and $\chi\big|_{\bigwedge^p T\mathcal{F}}$ is the oriented leafwise volume form of norm one at each point on M. We will say that $\chi \in \Omega^p(M)$ is a characteristic form of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ if there exists a metric g on M such that χ is the characteristic form of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$. By the Rummler's formula [Rum79], the mean curvature form κ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ is given by

$$\kappa(Y) = -d\chi(Y, E_1, \dots, E_p) \ , \tag{16}$$

for any vector field Y on U, where $\{E_1, \dots, E_p\}$ is a local oriented orthonormal frame of $T\mathcal{F}|_U$.

7.2. **Rummler-Sullivan type characterization of strongly tenseness.** Let M be a manifold with a p-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a flat vector bundle over M. We recall the following terminologies.

Definition 7.3. We call $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathcal{E})$ an *$\mathcal{F}$-trivial* form if $\iota(X_1) \circ \iota(X_2) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(X_p)\omega = 0$ for any vector fields X_1, X_2, …, X_p tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. We call $\omega \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathcal{E})$ *relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed* if $d_\nabla \omega$ is $\mathcal{F}$-trivial.

In terms of $\mathcal{F}$-trivial forms, (16) is expressed as follows:

Lemma 7.4. *For a foliated Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ with characteristic form χ and mean curvature form κ, there exists an $\mathcal{F}$-trivial form β such that*

$$d\chi = -\kappa \wedge \chi + \beta \ . \tag{17}$$

In this section, we will use the following two terminologies, which are not common: For a possibly non-orientable foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and any connected simply-connected open subset U of M, we have two characteristic forms χ on $(U, \mathcal{F}|_U, g|_U)$ depending the choice of the orientations of $\mathcal{F}|_U$. We call such χ a *local characteristic form* of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$. For a line bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over M, a section of $(\mathcal{E} - 0)/\{\pm 1\}$ is called a *nowhere vanishing double section* of $\mathcal{E}$.

Proposition 7.5. *For a foliated Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *The mean curvature form κ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$ is basic and closed.*
(ii) *There exists a topologically trivial flat line bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ over M with a nowhere vanishing section σ such that $\sigma|_L$ is parallel for every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\chi \otimes \sigma$ is relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed for any local characteristic form χ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$.*

(iii) *There exists a flat line bundle* $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ *over* M *with a nowhere vanishing double section* σ *such that* $\sigma|_L$ *is parallel for every leaf* L *of* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\chi\otimes\sigma$ *is relatively* $\mathcal{F}$*-closed for any local characteristic form* χ *of* $(M,\mathcal{F},g)$*.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ be a flat line bundle over M with a nowhere vanishing double section σ such that $\sigma|_L$ is parallel for every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$. On a connected simply-connected open subset U_i of M, we take a function f_i on U_i so that $\frac{1}{f_i}\sigma$ is a parallel section of $(\mathcal{E}|_{U_i},\nabla|_{U_i})$. Note that f_i is basic. We get

$$d_\nabla\sigma = df_i\wedge\frac{1}{f_i}\sigma = d\log|f_i|\wedge\sigma\ . \tag{18}$$

Let $p=\dim\mathcal{F}$. By Rummler's formula (Lemma 7.4), for any of two local characteristic form χ of $(M,\mathcal{F},g)$ defined on U_i, there exists an $\mathcal{F}$-trivial form β which satisfies (17). Then, by (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nabla(\chi\otimes\sigma) &= d\chi\otimes\sigma+(-1)^p\chi\wedge d_\nabla\sigma \\ &= \big(d\chi+(-1)^p\chi\wedge d\log|f_i|\big)\otimes\sigma \\ &= (-\kappa+d\log|f_i|)\wedge\chi\otimes\sigma+\beta\otimes\sigma\ . \end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

Clearly (ii) implies (iii). Here (iii) implies (i) by (19). Indeed, if $\chi\otimes\sigma$ is relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed, then, by (19), we get $-\kappa+d\log|f_i|=0$, which implies that κ is basic and closed.

We show (ii) by using (i). Assume that κ is basic and closed. We regard κ as a multiplicative homomorphism $\kappa:\pi_1M\to\mathbb{R}_+;\gamma\mapsto\exp(\int_\gamma\kappa)$. Let $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ be the flat line bundle over M whose holonomy homomorphism is κ; namely, $\mathcal{E}$ is the quotient of $\widetilde{M}\times\mathbb{R}$ by the diagonal π_1M-action, where $u:\widetilde{M}\to M$ is the universal cover of M and the π_1M-action on $\mathbb{R}$ is given by κ. We consider a function $\zeta:\widetilde{M}\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $d\zeta=u^*\kappa$. Then the graph of ζ in $\widetilde{M}\times\mathbb{R}$ is invariant under the diagonal π_1M-action, and its quotient in $\mathcal{E}$ is the image of a nowhere vanishing global section σ of $\mathcal{E}$. Since κ is basic, $\sigma|_L$ is parallel for every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$. We cover M with open sets U_i of M and take $f_i\in C^\infty(U_i)$ like in the first paragraph of the proof. Then $\{d\log|f_i|\}$ defines a basic closed one-form, which is cohomologous to κ, and we get a basic function h such that $dh=\kappa-\kappa'$. Then (19) gives

$$d_\nabla(\chi\otimes\sigma)=(dh\wedge\chi+\beta)\otimes\sigma\ .$$

It follows that $\chi\otimes e^h\sigma$ is relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed, which concludes the proof. ☐

Remark 7.6. As described in the last proof, there exists a correspondence between closed one-forms on M and topologically trivial flat line bundles over M with nowhere vanishing sections. Here a basic closed one-form corresponds to a topologically trivial flat line bundles over M with a nowhere vanishing section σ such that $\sigma|_L$ is parallel for each leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let us define the following.

Definition 7.7. We say that $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is *strongly tense with* $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ if the condition of Proposition 7.5-(iii) is satisfied.

The following is proved by an argument analogous to [AL92, Lemma 6.3].

Proposition 7.8. *Let* $(M,\mathcal{F})\to(M',\mathcal{F}')$ *be a finite covering map of foliated manifolds. Let* Γ *be the deck transformation group and* $\mathcal{E}$ *a* Γ*-equivariant flat line bundle over* M*. Then* $(M,\mathcal{F})$ *is strongly tense with* $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ *if and only if* $(M',\mathcal{F}')$ *is strongly tense with the quotient of* $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ *by* Γ*.*

Proof. The "if" part is trivial. We show the "only if" part. It suffices to prove the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is orientable. We assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is orientable and $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$. By Proposition 7.5, there exists a nowhere vanishing double section σ of $\mathcal{E}$ and a characteristic form χ which satisfies the condition of Proposition 7.5-(ii). We define $\varepsilon_1 : \Gamma \to \{\pm 1\}$ by $\varepsilon_1(\gamma) = 1$ if γ preserves the orientations of the fibers of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\varepsilon_1(\gamma) = -1$ otherwise. Take a nowhere vanishing double section σ_Γ of $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$\sigma_\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varepsilon_1(\gamma)\gamma^* \sigma \ ,$$

where the sum is taken in each branch of double sections. Then σ_Γ is a nowhere vanishing double section of $\mathcal{E}$ such that $g^*\sigma_\Gamma = \varepsilon_1(g)\sigma_\Gamma$ and $\sigma_\Gamma|_L$ is parallel for each leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$. We have a positive basic function f on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\sigma = f\sigma_\Gamma$. We define $\varepsilon_2 : \Gamma \to \{\pm 1\}$ by $\varepsilon_2(\gamma) = 1$ if γ preserves the orientations of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon_2(\gamma) = -1$ otherwise. Let

$$\chi' = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varepsilon_2(\gamma)\gamma^*(f\chi) \ .$$

Then $\chi' \otimes \sigma_\Gamma$ is relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed. Here χ' is positive along the foliation but it may not be a characteristic form in general. Thus we will take its Sullivan's purification [Sul79]: Let $\varpi : C^\infty(TM) \to C^\infty(T\mathcal{F})$ be the C^∞-linear projection map determined by

$$\iota\big(\varpi(X)\big)\big(\chi'|_{\bigwedge^p T\mathcal{F}}\big) = \big(\iota(X)\chi'\big)\big|_{\bigwedge^{p-1} T\mathcal{F}} \ ,$$

which is well-defined by the fact that $\chi'|_{\bigwedge^p T\mathcal{F}}$ is a leafwise volume form of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\chi_\Gamma = \varpi^*\big(\chi'|_{\bigwedge^p T\mathcal{F}}\big)$. By the naturality of the purification, we get $\gamma^*\chi_\Gamma = \varepsilon_2(\gamma)\chi_\Gamma$. Since $\chi' \otimes \sigma_\Gamma$ is relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed and it is easy to see that

$$\iota(X_1) \circ \iota(X_2) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(X_p)\chi_\Gamma = \iota(X_1) \circ \iota(X_2) \circ \cdots \circ \iota(X_p)\chi'$$

for any vector fields X_1, X_2, …, X_p tangent to $\mathcal{F}$, it follows that $\chi_\Gamma \otimes \sigma_\Gamma$ is also relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed. Let g be a Riemannian metric on M whose characteristic form is χ_Γ. Let $\hat{g}_\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^* g$ and $g_\Gamma = \frac{\hat{g}_\Gamma}{||\chi_\Gamma||}$. Then g_Γ is a Γ-invariant metric whose characteristic form is χ_Γ. Thus g_Γ induces a strongly tense metric on $(M', \mathcal{F}')$, which concludes the proof by Proposition 7.5. □

Remark 7.9. It is not clear that, for a finite covering map $(M, \mathcal{F}) \to (M', \mathcal{F}')$ of foliated manifolds, if $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is tense, then $(M', \mathcal{F}')$ is tense, while the inverse is trivial.

7.3. **The integration along foliations.** Let M be a manifold with an oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of dimension p. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a flat vector bundle over M. As in the case where $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial due to Haefliger [Hae80, Theorem 3.1], the integration along $\mathcal{F}$ of differential forms with values in $\mathcal{E}$,

$$\fint_{\mathcal{F}} : \Omega^\bullet_c(M; \mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^{\bullet - p}_c(\mathrm{Tr}\, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E}) \ , \tag{20}$$

is defined as follows. Take a total transversal T and an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M so that

(i) U_i is simply connected,
(ii) $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is locally finite,
(iii) $T \cap U_i$ intersects the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ exactly once and
(iv) the projection $\pi_i : U_i \to T \cap U_i$ whose fibers are the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ is a disk bundle.

Here $\mathcal{E}|_{U_i}$ and $\mathcal{E}|_{T\cap U_i}$ are trivial flat vector bundles for each i. So

$$\Omega_c^\bullet(U_i;\mathcal{E}) \cong \Omega_c^\bullet(U_i)^{\oplus k}\ , \qquad \Omega_c^\bullet(T\cap U_i;\mathcal{E}|_{T\cap U_i}) \cong \Omega_c^\bullet(T\cap U_i)^{\oplus k}\ ,$$

where $k = \operatorname{rank}\mathcal{E}$. Then $\fint_{\pi_i} : \Omega_c^\bullet(U_i;\mathcal{E}) \to \Omega_c^{\bullet-p}(U_i;\mathcal{E})$ is defined by the k-th direct sum of the integration $\Omega_c^\bullet(U_i) \to \Omega_c^\bullet(T\cap U_i)$ along π_i. Then (20) is defined by $\fint_{\mathcal{F}} \alpha = \sum_{i\in I} \fint_{\pi_i}(\rho_i\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_c^\bullet(M;\mathcal{E})$, where $\{\rho_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a partition of unity subordinated to $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$. We can show that $\fint_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a well-defined surjective continuous homomorphism which commutes with d_∇ as the case where $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial [Hae80, Theorem 3.1].

A twisted version of the proof of [Hae80, Theorem in Section 3.2] gives the following.

Lemma 7.10. *The kernel of* $\fint_{\mathcal{F}}$ *is equal to the subspace of* $\Omega_c^\bullet(M;\mathcal{E})$ *generated by* $\mathcal{F}$*-trivial forms and the differential of* $\mathcal{F}$*-trivial forms.*

Theorem 1.7 is proved by a twisted version of the proof of [Hae80, Theorem in Section 4.2] with Proposition 7.5 and Lemma 7.10 (See Theorem 9.8 for a similar argument).

8. Domínguez's tenseness theorem via Haefliger cohomology

In this section, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 8.1. *A compact connected manifold M with a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is strongly tense with a basic flat line bundle $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ over M if and only if* $\widehat{H}_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 8.2. See Definition 1.5 for the definition of basic flat line bundles. Recall that if $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$, then $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ is basic by definition.

Remark 8.3. By Theorems 6.2 and 6.7-(iii), under the assumption of Theorem 8.1, $\widehat{H}_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\widehat{H}_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ is nontrivial.

As indicated in the following remark, this result is essentially equivalent to a theorem of Domínguez [Dom98]. So our purpose in this section is to give an alternative proof of Domínguez's theorem in terms of Haefliger cohomology. The "only if" part of Theorem 8.1 is not difficult. We will prove the "if" part of Theorem 8.1 by using Theorems 1.1, 6.2 and 1.7. We will not use a theorem of Álvarez López [AL92, Corollary 3.5] on the closedness of the basic component of the mean curvature form of bundle-like metrics, which was used in the original proof due to Domínguez.

Remark 8.4. Let M be a compact manifold with a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$. By Theorems 6.2 and 6.7-(iii), the Sergiescu's orientation sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $\widehat{H}_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Thus the "if" part of Theorem 8.1 implies Domínguez's tenseness theorem [Dom98], which says that any Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold is tense. But, by results of Álvarez López [AL92, Theorem 5.2] and [AL96, Theorem 1.1], if $H_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ and $\widehat{H}_c^0(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ are nontrivial, then the absolute value of the holonomy homomorphism $\pi_1 M \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R})$ of $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ is equal to those of the determinant line bundle of Molino's commuting sheaf and Sergiescu's orientation sheaf $\mathcal{P}$. In particular, there is essentially unique $\mathcal{E}$ such that $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. In this sense, Theorem 8.1 is essentially equivalent to Domínguez's theorem.

First we will prove the "only if" part, which essentially follows only from Theorems 6.2 and 6.7-(iii). We note the following fact, which directly follows from the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is Riemannian and $\mathcal{E}$ is topologically trivial as an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant line bundle over T.

Lemma 8.5. *Let M be a manifold with a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a basic flat line bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$. Let T be a total transversal of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. For any point $x \in T$, there exists a nonnegative n-form $\omega \in \Omega^n(T; \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^{\mathrm{Hol}(T)}$ which is nonzero at x, where the nonnegativity of ω is defined in Definition 1.6 regarding ω as a global section of a topologically trivial line bundle over T.*

Proof of the "only if" part of Theorem 8.1. By definition of strongly tenseness with $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a total transversal T of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $\xi \in \Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ so that the condition of Theorem 1.7-(ii) is satisfied. Then ξ gives a 0-cocycle in $H^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ and hence in $\widehat{H}^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$. By Theorem 6.2, the canonical map $\widehat{H}^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E}) \to H^n_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathrm{Hol}(T); \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^*$ is an isomorphism. By Lemma 8.5, there exists $\omega \in \Omega^n(T; \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $\Psi(\xi)(\omega) \neq 0$. Thus $\widehat{H}^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ is nontrivial. Finally, by Theorems 6.2 and 6.7-(iii), $\widehat{H}^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. □

We will use the following observation, which is proved by using Theorem 6.2.

Lemma 8.6. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complete Riemannian pseudogroup on a manifold T whose orbit space is connected. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be an $\mathcal{H}$-equivariant flat line bundle over T which admits a nowhere vanishing $\mathcal{H}$-invariant section. Assume that $H^0_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \cong \widehat{H}^0_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any relatively compact subset K of T, there exists a nonnegative section $\xi \in \Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ such that $K \subset \mathrm{supp}_+ \xi$ and $d_\nabla \xi = 0$ in $\Omega^1_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. We fix a nowhere vanishing $\mathcal{H}$-invariant section σ of $\mathcal{E}$ to identify $\mathcal{E}$ with the trivial line bundle over T. Similarly we identify $\Omega^n(T; \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ with functions on T by using σ. Then, by the connectivity of $T/\mathcal{H}$, $H^n_{\mathrm{inv}}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 6.2 and the hypothesis, $H^0_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ and $\widehat{H}^0_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ are identified with $\mathbb{R}$. For any section ξ of $\mathcal{E}$, let $\mathrm{supp}_+ \xi = \{x \in T \mid \xi(x) > 0\}$. Take $\xi \in \Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ which represents a positive class in $H^0_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$ and $\widehat{H}^0_c(T/\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{E})$. By Theorem 6.2, for any $\omega \in \Omega^n(T; \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)^{\mathcal{H}}$ which is positive, the product $\xi\omega$ is positive. By Lemma 8.5 and this fact, for any point $x \in T$, there exist a finite subset $\{h_{x,j}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and a finite subset $\{\alpha_{x,j}\}_j$ of $\Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $x \in \mathrm{Dom}\, h_{x,j}$,
(ii) $\mathrm{supp}\, \alpha_{x,j} \subset \mathrm{Im}\, h_{x,j}$ and
(iii) $(\mathrm{supp}_+ \xi) \cup \{x\} \subset \mathrm{supp}_+ \big(\xi + \sum_j (h^*_{x,j}\alpha_{x,j} - \alpha_{x,j})\big)$.

For each $x \in T$, take an open neighborhood V_x of x in T so that $V_x \subset \mathrm{supp}_+ \big(\xi + \sum_j (h^*_{x,j}\alpha_{x,j} - \alpha_{x,j})\big)$. By the compactness of $(\mathrm{supp}\, \xi \setminus \mathrm{supp}_+ \xi) \cup K$, we can take finite points $\{x(k)\}_{k=1}^m$ in T so that $(\mathrm{supp}\, \xi \setminus \mathrm{supp}_+ \xi) \cup K \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m V_{x(k)}$. Then we can inductively construct ξ which satisfies the given conditions. □

Proof of the "if" part of Theorem 8.1. By Proposition 7.8, it suffices to prove the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is oriented and $\mathcal{E}$ is topologically trivial. Take a total transversal T of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and a relatively compact subset K which intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. By the assumption of the nontriviality of $\widehat{H}^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ and Theorem 1.1, $H^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$ is nontrivial and isomorphic to $\widehat{H}^0_c(\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{E})$. Then, by Lemma 8.6, there exists a nonnegative section $\xi \in \Omega^0_c(T; \mathcal{E})$ such that $K \subset \mathrm{supp}_+ \xi$ and $d_\nabla \xi = 0$ in $\Omega^1_c(T/\mathrm{Hol}(T); \mathcal{E})$. Thus the proof is concluded. □

9. A CHARACTERIZATION OF STRONGLY TENSENESS IN THE NONCOMPACT CASE

9.1. Some difference between the case where the manifolds are compact and noncompact.

There are some differences of tautness or tenseness between compact and noncompact foliated manifolds. We collect some of them in this section.

The tautness of compact foliated manifolds is a transverse property by [Hae80, Corollary 1 in Section 4.2]; namely, tautness is determined by the equivalence class of the holonomy pseudogroup. But it is not true for the noncompact case. Let G be a Lie group.

Proposition 9.1. *If the holonomy pseudogroup of any G-Lie foliation is finitely generated, then it is equivalent to a holonomy pseudogroup of a taut foliation on a noncompact manifold.*

Proof. If the holonomy pseudogroup of any G-Lie foliation is finitely generated, then it is equivalent to the pseudogroup $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ generated by the right action of a finitely generated subgroup Γ of G (see [Mei97, Proposition 2.1]). In turn, $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$ is the holonomy pseudogroup of the suspension foliation of a G-bundle over a closed surface Σ. Indeed, if Γ is generated by m elements, then Σ can be taken as the oriented closed surface of genus $2m$ and the $\pi_1\Sigma$-action on G is given by the composite of $\pi_1\Sigma \to F_m \to \Gamma$. Since any suspension foliation is taut, the proof is concluded. ☐

So, the realization problem of pseudogroups with taut foliations up to equivalence is not well posed for noncompact foliated manifolds. Nevertheless we will see that tautness or strongly tenseness of noncompact foliated manifolds are invariant under a natural equivalence (see Remark 9.10).

By Corollary 1.4, for G-Lie foliations $\mathcal{F}$ with dense leaves, we have $\widehat{H}^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}) = 0$ if G is not unimodular. Combining with Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 9.1, we get the following simple but remarkable examples.

Example 9.2. There are taut Riemannian foliations $\mathcal{F}$ on noncompact manifolds M such that $H^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F}) = 0$.

These examples imply that the easier direction of the Masa's characterization of tautness of Riemannian foliations on compact manifolds [Mas92, Minimality theorem] cannot be directly generalized to noncompact manifolds.

As already mentioned in the introduction, a tense metric on a closed manifold with a Riemannian foliations is always strongly tense by [KT83b, Eq. 4.4], while the example of Cairns-Escobales [CE97, Example 2.4] shows that it is not true for the noncompact case. There is a further difference in this direction. The cohomology class of the mean curvature form of a tense metric on a Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold, or more generally, the basic component of the mean curvature form κ of a Riemannian foliation on a compact manifold is unique by [AL92, Theorem 5.2]. But this result is not true in the noncompact case as the following example shows.

Proposition 9.3. *Let $M = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ and $\mathcal{F}$ the foliation on M defined by the trivial $\mathbb{R}$-bundle given by the second projection $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to S^1$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, there exists a strongly tense bundle-like metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ whose mean curvature form κ satisfies $\int_{S^1} \kappa = t$.*

Proof. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, let χ_t be a flat $\mathbb{R}$-connection form whose holonomy homomorphism is $h_t : \pi_1 S^1 \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R})$ determined by $h_t(\gamma) = t$, where γ is a generator of $\pi_1 S^1$. Then, by Rummler's formula (16), χ_t is the characteristic form of a strongly tense metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that the cohomology class of the mean curvature form κ is given by $\int_\gamma \kappa = \log|t|$. ☐

9.2. **Modified Haefliger cohomology.** In this section, we define a generalization of Haefliger cohomology to noncompact foliated manifolds, which coincides with the original version for compact foliated manifolds.

We consider the following refined version of total transversals.

Definition 9.4. Let T be a total transversal of a foliated manifold $(M,\mathcal{F})$. We call T *fine* if there exists a decomposition $T=\bigsqcup_{i\in I}T_i$ to open closed subsets T_i and a locally finite open covering $\mathcal{U}=\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M such that

- (i) U_i is relatively compact in M,
- (ii) If $U_i\cap U_j$ is non-empty, then it is connected and simply connected.
- (iii) T_i is a total transversal of $(U_i,\mathcal{F}|_{U_i})$ which intersects each leaf of $(U_i,\mathcal{F}|_{U_i})$ exactly once and
- (iv) the map $\pi_i:U_i\to T_i$ which collapses leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ is a disk bundle.

The covering $\mathcal{U}$ is called a *covering compatible with* T. For a fine total transversal T of $(M,\mathcal{F})$, an open subset K of T is called *exhausting* if $T_i\cap K$ is relatively compact in T_i and $\bigcup_{i\in I}\pi_i^{-1}(T_i\cap K)=M$.

We introduce the corresponding notion of Haefliger cohomology. Let $(M,\mathcal{F})$ be a foliated manifold. Let $T=\bigsqcup_{i\in I}T_i$ be a fine total transversal of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with compatible covering $\mathcal{U}=\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$. Let $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ be a flat vector bundle over M. Let

$$\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E}):=\prod_{i\in I}\Omega^\bullet_c(T_i;\mathcal{E}) .$$

We topologize $\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})$ with the product of the LF-topology on each $\Omega^\bullet_c(T_i;\mathcal{E})$. Let J be the subset of $I\times I$ consisting of the pairs (i,j) such that $U_i\cap U_j\neq\emptyset$. For $(i,j)\in J$, the holonomy map from an open set of T_i to an open set of T_j is denoted by h_{ij}, which is unique by Definition 9.4-(ii). Let $\Xi^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the subspace of $\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$\Xi^\bullet_{\mathcal{H}}:=\left\{\sum_{(i,j)\in J}(h_{ij}^*\beta_{ji}-\beta_{ji})\,\middle|\,\beta_{ji}\in\Omega^\bullet_c(T_j;\mathcal{E})\text{ such that }\operatorname{supp}\beta_{ji}\subset\operatorname{Im}h_{ij}\right\} . \tag{21}$$

As in the case of the Haefliger cohomology, we get the following.

Lemma 9.5. *For a foliated manifold $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with a fine total transversal T, the isomorphism class of $\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})/\Xi^\bullet_{\mathrm{Hol}(T)}$ as a topological differential complex is independent of the choice of T.*

So, for any fine total transversal T with compatible covering $\mathcal{U}$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})&:=\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})/\Xi^\bullet_{\mathrm{Hol}(T)} ,\\ H^\bullet_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})&:=H^\bullet\big(\Omega^\bullet_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})/\Xi^\bullet_{\mathrm{Hol}(T)},d_\nabla\big) ,\end{aligned}$$

which are well-defined by the last lemma.

Remark 9.6. Haefliger [Hae80, Corollary in Section 3.3] proved that $\Omega^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the compact supported leafwise cohomology $H^p_c(\mathcal{F})$, where $p=\dim\mathcal{F}$. Note that $\Omega^p(M)/\{\mathcal{F}\text{-trivial forms}\}\cong C^\infty(\bigwedge^pT^*\mathcal{F})$ and that any $(p-1)$-forms are $\mathcal{F}$-trivial. Then, Lemma 9.7 below implies that $\Omega^0_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the leafwise cohomology $H^p(\mathcal{F})$.

9.3. Haefliger type characterization in the noncompact case.

Let M be a manifold with an oriented p-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and a flat vector bundle $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. We will extend Theorem 1.7 to the case where M is possibly noncompact. Let $T=\bigsqcup_{i\in I}T_i$ be a fine total transversal with compatible covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$. Like in the compact case, the integration along $\mathcal{F}$,

$$⨍_{\mathcal{F}}:\Omega^\bullet(M;\mathcal{E})\to\Omega^{\bullet-p}_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E}) ,$$

is well-defined by $⨍_{\mathcal{F}}\alpha=(⨍_{\pi_i}\rho_i\alpha)_{i\in I}$, where $\pi_i:U_i\to T_i$ is the projection whose fibres are plaques of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\{\rho_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a partition of unity on M subordinated to $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$. It is easy to see that $⨍_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a surjective map which commutes with d_∇.

Lemma 9.7. *The kernel of $\fint_{\mathcal{F}}$ is equal to the subspace of $\Omega^{\bullet}(M;\mathcal{E})$ generated by $\mathcal{F}$-trivial forms and the differential of $\mathcal{F}$-trivial forms.*

The following result is proved by a twisted version of the proof of [Hae80, Theorem in Section 4.2] with Proposition 7.5 and Lemma 9.7.

Theorem 9.8. *Let M be a manifold with an oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ a topologically trivial basic flat line bundle over M whose restriction to each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *$(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$.*
- (ii) *There exist a fine total transversal T of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with exhausting subset K and a nonnegative section $\xi\in\Omega^0_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})$ such that $K\subset\operatorname{supp}_+\xi$ and $d_\nabla\xi=0$ in $\Omega^1_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$.*
- (iii) *For any fine total transversal T of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with exhausting subset K, there exists a nonnegative section $\xi\in\Omega^0_{cc}(T;\mathcal{E})$ such that $K\subset\operatorname{supp}_+\xi$ and $d_\nabla\xi=0$ in $\Omega^1_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. Clearly (iii) implies (ii). We will show that (i) implies (iii). By Proposition 7.5, there exists a nowhere vanishing section σ such that $\sigma|_L$ is parallel for every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\chi\otimes\sigma$ is relatively $\mathcal{F}$-closed. Let $T=\bigsqcup_{i\in I}T_i$ be a fine total transversal of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with compatible covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ and exhausting subset K. Let $\pi_i:U_i\to T_i$ be the projection which collapses the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$. We take a partition of unity on M subordinated to $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ so that $K\cap T_i\subset\pi_i(\operatorname{supp}\rho_i)$. Let $\xi=\left(\fint_{\pi_i}\rho_i(\chi\otimes\sigma)\right)_{i\in I}$. Then, ξ satisfies the conditions in (iii), because d_∇ commutes with $\fint_{\mathcal{F}}$. We will show (i) by using (ii). Let $T=\bigsqcup_{i\in I}T_i$ be the fine total transversal of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ satisfying (ii). Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the compatible covering and $\pi_i:U_i\to T_i$ be the projection which collapses the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$. For each i, we take a closed p-form η_i on U_i compatible with the orientation of $\mathcal{F}$ so that $\fint_{\pi_i}\eta_i=1$ and $\bigcup_i\operatorname{supp}_+\eta_i=M$, where $\operatorname{supp}_+\eta_i=\{x\in M\mid\eta_i(x)\neq0\}$. Let $\eta=\sum_i\left(\eta_i\otimes\pi_i^*(\xi|_{T_i})\right)$. Then $\fint_{\mathcal{F}}d_\nabla\eta=d_\nabla\xi=0$ in $\Omega^1_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$. By Lemma 9.7, there exist two $\mathcal{F}$-trivial forms β_1 and β_2 such that $d_\nabla(\eta+\beta_1)=\beta_2$. Since $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$ is basic and topologically trivial, it admits a nowhere vanishing section $\sigma\in\Omega^0(M;\mathcal{E})$ such that $\sigma|_L$ is parallel for every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ (see Remark 7.6). Let χ be the characteristic form of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ such that $\chi\otimes\sigma=\eta+\beta_1$. Then χ and σ satisfy the conditions in Proposition 7.5. So $(M,\mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E},\nabla)$. ☐

Remark 9.9. Since tautness is strongly tenseness with the trivial flat line bundle, when $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial, Theorem 9.8-(ii) or (iii) characterizes tautness of $(M,\mathcal{F})$.

Remark 9.10. Theorem 9.8 shows that strongly tenseness of noncompact foliated manifold is invariant under certain equivalences of the pseudogroup on fine total transversals finer than the usual equivalence, which induces a map between modified Haefliger cohomology.

10. Strongly tenseness of Riemannian foliations on noncompact manifolds

Here we will prove Theorem 1.9. Before the proof, we make the following remark on a difference from the compact case.

Remark 10.1. In the compact case, strongly tenseness implies the nontriviality of the Haefliger cohomology (the “only if” part of Theorem 8.1). But this result cannot be generalized to noncompact manifolds with Riemannian foliations. First, $H^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ can be trivial for taut Riemannian foliations $\mathcal{F}$ on noncompact manifolds (Example 9.2). Strongly tenseness with $\mathcal{E}$ may not imply the nontriviality of $H^0_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$ for Riemannian foliations on noncompact manifolds, either. The argument to show the nontriviality of $H^0_c(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F})$ does not work for $H^0_{cc}(\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{F};\mathcal{E})$, because the cohomology class of nonnegative n-forms in $\Omega^n_{cc}(T;\mathcal{O}_T)$ may be trivial.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. By Proposition 7.8, it suffices to prove the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is oriented and $\mathcal{E}$ is topologically trivial.

Let $T=\bigsqcup_{i\in I}T_i$ be a fine total transversal of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ with compatible covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ and exhausting subset K. Let $K_i=K\cap T_i$. By the σ-compactness of M, we can assume that $I=\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\pi_i:U_i\to T_i$ be the disc bundle whose fibers are leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$.

Take another total transversal T_0 of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ so that $T_0\sqcup T$ is a fine total transversal of $(M,\mathcal{F})$. By the uniformness of $(M,\mathcal{F})$, there exists a relatively compact subset K_0 which intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{Hol}(T_0\sqcup T)$ and $\mathcal{H}_i=\operatorname{Hol}(T_0\sqcup T_i)$. For each $i\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $T_0\sqcup T_i$ is a total transversal of $(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $K_0\sqcup K_i$ is a relatively open subset of $T_0\sqcup T_i$ which intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Thus, Lemma 8.6 implies that, for each $i\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exists a nonnegative section $\xi^i\in\Omega^0_c(T_0\sqcup T_i;\mathcal{E})$ such that $K_i\subset\operatorname{supp}_+\xi^i$ and $d_\nabla\xi^i=0$ in $\Omega^1_c\big((T_0\sqcup T_i)/\mathcal{H}_i;\mathcal{E}\big)$. We can take ξ^i so that there exists a relatively compact subset L_0 of T_0 such that $\operatorname{supp}(\xi^i|_{T_0})\subset L_0$ for any i.

First we check if we can construct ξ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 9.8-(ii) to show strongly tenseness with $\mathcal{E}$, by letting $\xi=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\xi^i$. The first problem is that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\xi^i$ may not be well-defined in $\Omega^0_{cc}(T_0\sqcup T;\mathcal{E})$, because $\Omega^0_{cc}(T_0\sqcup T;\mathcal{E})\cong\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\Omega^0_c(T_i;\mathcal{E})$ by definition and the sequence $\{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\xi^i|_{T_0}\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ may diverge in $\Omega^0_c(T_0;\mathcal{E})$. To avoid this problem, we will slightly modify the construction of ξ. Let $||\cdot||^0_\ell$ be a C^ℓ-norm on $\Omega^0_c(T;\mathcal{E})$, and take the sequence $A=\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers defined by

$$a_i=\frac{1}{2^{i+1}\max_{0\leq\ell\leq i}||\xi^i|_{T_0}||^0_\ell}\ .$$

Then, since

(i) $\{||\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_i\xi^i|_{T_0}||^0_\ell\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges for every ℓ and
(ii) $\overline{L_0}$ is compact and $\operatorname{supp}(\xi^i|_{T_0})\subset\overline{L_0}$ for any i,

the sequence $\{\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_i\xi^i|_{T_0}\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $\Omega^0_c(T_0;\mathcal{E})$ (see [dR84, Section 9]). Since $\xi^i|_{T_j}=0$ for $j>0$ and $i\neq j$, it follows that $\xi_A=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a_i\xi^i$ is well-defined in $\Omega^0_{cc}(T_0\sqcup T;\mathcal{E})$.

We will check if ξ_A satisfies the conditions of Theorem 9.8-(ii). Like in Section 9.2, let $J=\{(j,k)\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2\mid U_j\cap U_k\neq\emptyset\}$. For $(j,k)\in J$, let h_{jk} denote the holonomy map from an open set of T_j to an open set of T_k, which is unique by Definition 9.4-(ii). Since $\{h_{jk}\}_{(j,k)\in J}$ generates $\mathcal{H}$ and $d_\nabla\xi^i$ belongs to $\Lambda^1_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ (see Section 2.1 for the definition of $\Lambda^1_{\mathcal{H}_i}$), for each i, we have

$$d\xi^i=\sum_{(j,k)\in J}h^*_{jk}\beta^i_{kj}-\beta^i_{kj}\tag{22}$$

for some $\beta^i_{kj}\in\Omega^1_c(T_k;\mathcal{E})$ so that β^i_{kj} is zero except a finite number of $(j,k)\in J$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a_i\beta^i_{kj}$ is well-defined in $\Omega^1_c(T_k;\mathcal{E})$ for each $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then we can multiply (22) by a_i and sum it up with respect to $i\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ to show that ξ_A satisfies the conditions of ξ in Theorem 9.8-(ii). But, in general, the sequence $\big\{\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_i\beta^i_{kj}\big\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ in $\Omega^1_c(T_k;\mathcal{E})$ may diverge, because $a_i\beta^i_{kj}$ may be nontrivial for a fixed k and infinitely many different i.

So we modify the construction of ξ_A. First we modify a fine total transversal $T=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}T_i$ so that there exists a fine total transversal $T'=\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty}T'_i$ such that T_i is a relatively compact subset of T'_i. We similarly modify the total transversal T_0 so that there exists a total transversal T'_0 such that T_0 is a relatively compact subset of T'_0. Let $\mathcal{H}' = \mathrm{Hol}(T'_0 \sqcup T')$. We take ξ^i, a_i, ξ_A and β^i_{kj} as above. Take a C^ℓ-norm $||\cdot||^1_\ell$ of $\Omega^1_c(T'_0 \sqcup T'; \mathcal{E})$. We take the sequence $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of positive real numbers defined by

$$b_i = \min\left\{a_i, \frac{1}{2^{i+1}\max_{(j,k)\in J, 0\leq \ell \leq i} ||\beta^i_{kj}||^1_\ell}\right\}.$$

Let $\xi_B = \sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i \xi^i$. We consider ξ_B as an element of $\Omega^1_{cc}(T'_0 \sqcup T'; \mathcal{E})$. Since

(i) $\{||\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \beta^i_{kj}||^1_\ell\}_{N=1}^\infty$ converges for every ℓ and
(ii) $\overline{T_k}$ is compact and $\mathrm{supp}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \beta^i_{kj}\right) \subset \overline{T_k}$,

the sequence $\{\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \beta^i_{kj}\}$ converges in $\Omega^1_{cc}(T'_k; \mathcal{E})$ (see [dR84, Section 9]). So, by multiplying (22) by b_i and summing it up with respect to i, we get

$$d\xi_B = \sum_{(j,k)\in J}\left(h^*_{jk}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i \beta^i_{kj}\Big) - \Big(\sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i \beta^i_{kj}\Big)\right),$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i \beta^i_{kj} \in \Omega^1_{cc}(T'_k; \mathcal{E})$. It follows that $d\xi_B$ belongs to $\Xi^1_{\mathcal{H}'}$ (see (21) for the definition of $\Xi^1_{\mathcal{H}'}$). By construction, $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\xi_B \in \Omega^0_{cc}(T'_0 \sqcup T'; \mathcal{E})$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 9.8-(ii). Thus, by Theorem 9.8, $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is strongly tense with $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$. $\square$

References

[AL89a] J.A. Álvarez López, *Duality in the spectral sequence of Riemannian foliations*, Amer. J. Math. **111** (1989), no. 6, 905–926.

[AL89b] ———, *A finiteness theorem for the spectral sequence of a Riemannian foliation*, Illinois J. Math. **33** (1989), no. 1, 79–92.

[AL90] ———, *On Riemannian foliations with minimal leaves*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **40** (1990), no. 1, 163–176.

[AL92] ———, *The basic component of the mean curvature of Riemannian foliations.*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **10** (1992), no. 2, 179–194.

[AL96] ———, *On the first secondary invariant of Molino's central sheaf*, Ann. Polon. Math. **64** (1996), no. 3, 253–265.

[ALM08] J.A. Álvarez López and X.M. Masa, *Morphisms between complete Riemannian pseudogroups*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 6, 544–604.

[Car84] Y. Carrière, *Flots riemanniens*, Structure transverse des feuilletages, Toulouse 1982, Astérisque, vol. 116, 1984, pp. 31–52.

[CC00] A. Candel and L. Conlon, *Foliations. I*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[CE97] G. Cairns and R. Escobales, *Further geometry of the mean curvature one-form and the normal plane field one-form on a foliated Riemannian manifold*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A **62** (1997), no. 1, 46–63.

[CM04] M. Crainic and I. Moerdijk, *Čech-De Rham theory for leaf spaces of foliations*, Math. Ann. **328** (2004), no. 1-2, 59–85.

[Dom98] D. Domínguez, *Finiteness and tenseness theorems for Riemannian foliations*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 1237–1276.

[dR84] G. de Rham, *Differentiable manifolds. Forms, currents, harmonic forms. Transl. from the French by F.R. Smith. Introduction to the English ed. by S.S. Chern*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 266, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[EKAH84] A. El Kacimi-Alaoui and G. Hector, *Décomposition de Hodge sur l'espace des feuilles d'un feuilletage riemannien*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **298** (1984), 289–292.

[EKASH85] A. El Kacimi-Alaoui, V. Sergiescu, and G. Hector, *La cohomologie basique d'un feuilletage riemannien est de dimension finie*, Math. Z. **188** (1985), 593–599.

[Eva99] L.C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[GHV76] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone, *Connections, curvature, and cohomology. Volume III: Cohomology of principal bundles and homogeneous spaces.*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 47-III, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1976.

[Ghy84] É. Ghys, *Feuilletages riemanniens sur les variétés simplement connexes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **34** (1984), no. 4, 203–223.

[Hae80] A. Haefliger, *Some remarks on foliations with minimal leaves*, J. Differential Geom. **15** (1980), 269–284.

[Hae85] ———, *Pseudogroups of local isometries*, Differential geometry, Proc. 5th Int. Colloq., Santiago de Compostela/Spain 1984, Res. Notes Math., vol. 131, 1985, pp. 174–197.

[Hec88] G. Hector, *Cohomologies transversales des feuilletages Riemanniens. I*, Feuilletages riemanniens, quantification géométrique et mécanique, Sémin. Sud-Rhodanien Géom. VII, Trav. Cours 26, 1988, pp. 15–40.

[Kna88] A.W. Knapp, *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and cohomology*, Math. Notes, vol. 34, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.

[KT83a] F.W. Kamber and P. Tondeur, *Duality for Riemannian foliations*, Singularities, Summer Inst., Arcata/Calif. 1981, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, 1983, pp. 609–618.

[KT83b] ———, *Foliations and metrics*, Differential geometry, Proc., Spec. Year, Maryland 1981-82, Progr. Math., vol. 32, 1983, pp. 103–152.

[Mas92] X.M. Masa, *Duality and minimality in Riemannian foliations*, Comment. Math. Helv. **15** (1992), 17–27.

[Mas09] ———, *Duality and minimality for Riemannian foliations on open manifolds*, Differential geometry. Proceedings of the VIII international colloquium, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, July 7–11, 2008 (Hackensack, NJ), World Scientific, 2009, pp. 102–103.

[McC01] J. McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences. 2nd ed.*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 58, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[Mei97] G. Meigniez, *Holonomy groups of solvable Lie foliations*, Integrable systems and foliations. Papers from a colloquium, Montpellier, France, May 22-26, 1995. In honor of Pierre Molino's 60th birthday (Boston, MA) (Albert Claude et al, ed.), Progr. Math., vol. 145, Birkhäuser, 1997, pp. 107–146.

[Mol88] P. Molino, *Riemannian foliations. With appendices by G. Cairns, Y. Carrière, E. Ghys, E. Salem, V. Sergiescu. Transl. from the French by Grant Cairns*, Progr. Math., vol. 73, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel, 1988.

[MS85] P. Molino and V. Sergiescu, *Deux remarques sur les flots Riemanniens*, Manuscripta Math. **51** (1985), 145–161.

[NRP12] H. Nozawa and J.I. Royo Prieto, *Tenseness of riemannian flows*, Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.4965, 2012.

[Rai09] N. Raimbaud, *Compact generation for Lie groupoids*, Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4614, 2009.

[RPSAW08] J.I. Royo Prieto, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, and R. Wolak, *Tautness for Riemannian foliations on non-compact manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. **126** (2008), no. 2, 177–200.

[RPSAW09] ———, *Cohomological tautness for Riemannian foliations*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **16** (2009), no. 3, 450–466.

[RR80] A.P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces. 2nd ed.*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 53, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge, 1980.

[Rum79] H. Rummler, *Quelques notions simples en géométrie riemannienne et leurs applications aux feuilletages compacts*, Comment. Math. Helv. **54** (1979), 224–239.

[Sal88] E. Salem, *Une généralisation de théorème de Myers-Steenrod aux pseudogroupes d'isométries*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **38** (1988), no. 2, 185–200.

[Sar78] K.S. Sarkaria, *A finiteness theorem for foliated manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 687–696.

[Ser85] V. Sergiescu, *Cohomologie basique et dualité des feuilletages riemanniens*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **35** (1985), 137–158.

[Sul79] D. Sullivan, *A homological characterization of foliations consisting of minimal surfaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **54** (1979), 218–223.

[Trè67] F. Trèves, *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1967.

Hiraku Nozawa, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Le Bois-Marie 35, Route de Chartres 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

E-mail address: `nozawahiraku@06.alumni.u-tokyo.ac.jp`